

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant responds herein to the Office Action dated September 12, 2007.

Claims 1, 3-12, 14-23, and 25-33 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Nielsen (2001/0030663) and Oosterholt (2001/0008399), and in further view of Kinnunen (2001/0021649). Reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested.

With regard to independent claims 1, 12, and 23 the Examiner states that,

“The combination of Nielson [sic] and Oosterholt fails to explicitly teach said access-related information includes a URL address of said past-referred to file, and said URL address of said past-referred to file having been automatically stored in said communication mode of said communication terminal when said past-referred to file was referred to.

In an analogous field of endeavor, Kinnunen teaches a user interface for a radiotelephone in which a user is able to select components from a variety of sources including multimedia sources and the Internet, wherein an access-related information includes a URL address of a past-referred to file, and said URL address of said past-referred to file having been automatically stored in said communication mode of said communication terminal when said past-referred to file was referred to (see p. 2 [0017]).”

(Office Action, page 5, line 15 to page 6, line 3; page 9, line 13 to page 10, line 1).

Applicant respectfully disagrees that the cited portion of Kinnunen teaches the automatic storage of a URL address of a past-referred to file. On the contrary, Kinnunen, in part, teaches that,

“FIG. 2 shows an example of a screen 10 which, when shown on the display 7, presents the user with a number of options relating to the creation of, in this example, a multimedia file... The interface allows a user both to enter information in the form of text and also to compile information gathered from a number of locations, both within and without the radiotelephone 1, to produce a multimedia file... In the case of an Internet source file, the multimedia file may hold simply the URL address of the sound file. Similarly, the user may select a video image file from the Internet and store the URL address of that file in the multimedia file.”

(Paragraph [0017], lines 1-4; 9-12; 15-19).

It is respectfully submitted that the cited portion of Kinnunen only teaches an interface allowing a user to compile information gathered from a number of locations and also to enter the

information in the form of text to produce a multimedia file. Among such information, the user may apparently store the URL address of a sound file in the case of an Internet source file, just as the user stores the URL address of a video image file from the Internet in a multimedia file. Thus, there appears to be no disclosure, teaching, or suggestion from the cited portion of Kinnunen of the automatic storage of the URL address of a past-referred to file, as required by the independent claims 1, 12 and 23.

Since each of claims 3-11, 14-22, and 25-33 are directly dependent on one of independent claims 1, 12 and 23, each of claims 3-11, 14-22, and 25-33 are allowable over Nielsen and Oosterholt in further view of Kinnunen for the same reasons recited above with respect to the allowability of independent claims 1, 12, and 23 over Nielsen and Oosterholt in further view of Kinnunen.

Claims 23-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 on the ground that the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested.


Claim 23 has been amended to overcome the rejection.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, allowance of claims 1, 3-12, 14-23 and 25-33 is respectfully requested.

Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the application, allow the claims as amended and pass this case to issue.

THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING  
SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY  
THROUGH THE UNITED STATES  
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
EFS FILING SYSTEM  
ON DECEMBER 11, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

  
MAX MOSKOWITZ  
Registration No.: 30,576  
OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP  
1180 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10036-8403  
Telephone: (212) 382-0700